Average Travel Time to Work of Workers 16 Years and Over Who Did Not Work at Home (Minutes)

Workers 16 Years and Over Who Did Not Work at Home

Rank	Place	Average	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
1	New York city, NY	38.3	37.8	38.8
2	Chicago city, IL	33.2	32.4	33.9
3	Newark city, NJ	31.5	26.8	36.2
4	Riverside city, CA	31.2	27.8	34.7
5	Philadelphia city, PA	29.4	28.5	30.3
6	Los Angeles city, CA	29.0	28.2	29.7
6	Miami city, FL	29.0	27.2	30.9
6	Baltimore city, MD	29.0	26.8	31.2
9	San Francisco city, CA	28.5	28.0	29.0
10	Washington city, DC	28.4	27.7	29.1
11	Boston city, MA	27.3	25.9	28.6
12	Anaheim city, CA	26.5	24.7	28.3
13	Oakland city, CA	26.3	24.9	27.8
14	Long Beach city, CA	25.9	24.4	27.5
15	Houston city, TX	25.8	25.1	26.6
16	Seattle city, WA	25.7	24.3	27.0
17	Stockton city, CA	25.6	22.7	28.5
18	Mesa city, AZ	25.5	23.8	27.2
19	Santa Ana city, CA	25.3	23.1	27.4
20	Aurora city, CO	25.0	23.5	26.4
21	Arlington city, TX	24.9	23.5	26.4
22	Cleveland city, OH	24.8	22.6	26.9
23	Detroit city, MI	24.7	23.6	25.7
24	Las Vegas city, NV	24.6	23.3	25.9
25	Phoenix city, AZ	24.5	23.8	25.2
25	Charlotte city, NC	24.5	23.1	25.8
27	Atlanta city, GA	24.2	22.5	25.9
28	San Jose city, CA	23.8	22.8	24.8
29	Memphis city, TN	23.6	21.4	25.8
29	Dallas city, TX	23.6	22.8	24.5
31	San Diego city, CA	23.4	22.5	24.3
32	Jacksonville city, FL	23.2	22.3	24.1
33	Fort Worth city, TX	22.8	21.5	24.1
34	Denver city, CO	22.6	21.3	23.8
34	New Orleans city, LA	22.6	21.5	23.8
36	San Antonio city, TX	22.5	21.7	23.3
37	Portland city, OR	22.4	21.9	22.9
38	Honolulu CDP, HI	22.3	21.6	23.1
39	Austin city, TX	21.9	20.8	23.0
39	Milwaukee city, WI	21.9	20.7	23.2
41	Indianapolis city (balance), IN	21.7	20.6	22.7
41	Virginia Beach city, VA	21.7	20.8	22.7
43	Tampa city, FL	21.5	19.8	23.2
43	St. Louis city, MO	21.5	20.2	22.8
45	Pittsburgh city, PA	21.2	19.9	22.6
46	St. Paul city, MN	21.0	19.4	22.5
46	Cincinnati city, OH	21.0	19.7	22.2
48	Raleigh city, NC	20.9	19.2	22.5
49	Kansas City city, MO	20.7	19.6	21.7
49	Nashville-Davidson (balance), TN	20.7	19.9	21.5

Rank	Place	Average	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
51	Tucson city, AZ	20.6	20.0	21.2
51	Sacramento city, CA	20.6	19.3	21.8
53	Minneapolis city, MN	20.2	19.2	21.3
54	Columbus city, OH	20.0	19.6	20.5
55	Colorado Springs city, CO	19.5	18.3	20.6
55	Toledo city, OH	19.5	17.7	21.4
57	Buffalo city, NY	19.4	17.7	21.1
58	Bakersfield city, CA	19.3	17.4	21.1
58	Fresno city, CA	19.3	17.8	20.7
58	Lexington-Fayette, KY	19.3	18.0	20.6
61	El Paso city, TX	19.1	18.4	19.8
62	Albuquerque city, NM	18.7	17.6	19.8
62	Oklahoma City city, OK	18.7	17.5	20.0
64	Anchorage municipality, AK	18.3	17.7	18.9
65	Omaha city, NE	17.3	16.8	17.8
66	Tulsa city, OK	17.1	16.2	18.1
67	Wichita city, KS	16.3	15.5	17.2
68	Corpus Christi city, TX	16.1	15.1	17.0

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2003 American Community Survey

The table above shows the margin of error, represented by the lower and upper bounds of the 90-percent confidence interval. The confidence interval gives a range of values likely to include the population true value. The smaller the confidence interval the more precise the estimate of the characteristic of interest.

An 'N' entry in the estimate, lower bound, and upper bound columns indicates that data for this geographic area cannot be displayed because the number of sample cases is too small.